IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Civil Contempt Petition No. 228 of 2007.

Pahel Singh S/o Shri Chattar Singh, R/O Vilalge Salempur, P.O. Bachiti, District Saharanpur (U.P.) at present R/O Jhabreda, Near Cooperative Seed Store, P.O. Jhabreda, District Haridwar.

….Petitioner.

Vs.

1. Dr. Trilochan, Additional District Education Officer (Basic), Haridwar, District Haridwar.
2. Mr. Lalit Kumar Sahani, Finance & Accounts Officer, Basic Siksha (Haridwar), District Haridwar.

... Respondents

Sri Mohd. Safdar, holding brief of Sri Rajendra Singh, learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner.

Date October 08, 2007.

P.C.: Hon'ble B.S. Verma, J.

This contempt petition has been field by the petitioner against the respondents to punish them for deliberate and intentional flouting of the order dated 21-12-2006 passed by this Court in Writ Petition No. 1633 of 2006 (S/S) Pahel Singh Vs. State of Uttarakhand and others.

The aforesaid writ petition was disposed of finally by this Court on 21-12-2006 and the order was passed to the following effect:-

"Respondent No. 5 is directed to take decision for grant of pension to the petitioner in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of production of certified copy of this order."

In compliance of the Court's order dated 21-12-2006, the respondent no. 5 has already passed a speaking order on the representation of the petitioner by its letter dated 9-2-2007.

It the petitioner feels aggrieved and is so advised, he may file a writ petition against the order dated 9-2-2007 passed by respondent no. 5 (Annexure No. 4 to the writ petition).

In the circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to entertain the contempt petition, which is liable to be dismissed.

With the above observation, the contempt petition is dismissed in limine.

(B.S. Verma, J.)

RCP